(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicar	nt/Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)
did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa	ary by the examiner appears in I	Part II above.
of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate	record of the substance of the	interview, since the interview
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The	record of the substance of the ne examiner will provide a writt	interview, since the interview en summary of the substance
Part III.		
deleted. Agreement was reached.	,	
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Examiner Staicovici indicated that in claim 7, line 2, before "flow", the word "the" has been typed twice and as such it would be		
Part II.		
N/A		
Prior art documents discussed:		
7 .		
Claims discussed:		
N/A		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Part I.		
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No If Yes, provide a brief description: .		
	cant's representative)	
☐ Telephonic☐ Video Conference		
Type of Interview:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Date of Interview: 3 September 2004	Time:	
(2) <u>Mr. Richard Mayer</u> .	(4)	
(1) <u>Stefan Staicovici</u> .	(3)	
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
	Stefan Staicovici	1732
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/980,976 Examiner	FILSINGER ET AL. Art Unit
	Application No.	Applicant(s)